

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Application No. 10/802,814 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Applicant(s) KIWADA, MASAKATSU </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Examiner CHAN S. PARK </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 2625 </td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/802,814	Applicant(s) KIWADA, MASAKATSU	Examiner CHAN S. PARK	Art Unit 2625
Application No. 10/802,814	Applicant(s) KIWADA, MASAKATSU				
Examiner CHAN S. PARK	Art Unit 2625				

All Participants:

(1) CHAN S. PARK.

(2) David Hill (Reg. No. 28,220).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2008

Time: 11:15 am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

11-20

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for the examiner's amendment was given.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/CHAN S PARK/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)